

Appendix 6: Indicative Evaluation Questions

Results

- What are the most significant laws, regulations, institutional reforms, policies and practices that your country/agency has adopted as a result of membership in the Initiative?
- How have these laws, regulations, institutional reforms, or policies been implemented and what has been the impact?
- What issues, practices, lessons has your government or agency shared with other members of the Initiative?
- What are the lessons that your government or agency has taken back from the Initiative?
- What are your needs/expectations of the Initiative? Are these being met? If so how, and if not, what is missing?

Effectiveness

- To what extent has the Initiative achieved its main objectives?
- Which activities have proven to be most effective? (i.e. capacity development seminars, Steering Group meetings (including country self-reporting), thematic reviews, publications, website, conferences etc). Which activities are not or less effective?
- Has participation in the Initiative assisted bilateral discussions amongst Members? Have you ever contacted bilaterally another member of the Initiative to discuss a particular point and/or issue or to seek guidance or assistance? What was the result?
- How effective is the Action Plan (including the Implementation Plan) as a framework for anticorruption interventions regionally and in your country?

Relevance

- To what extent does the Action Plan facilitate or detract from UNCAC implementation?
- What in your view is missing, or inconsistent between the Action Plan (including the Implementation Plan) and the changing anticorruption landscape? Is the Action Plan still relevant given the emergence of the UNCAC? Should the Action and Implementation Plans be modified, and if so, how?
- To what extent, if any, does the Action Plan complement or duplicate other initiatives that your country/agency participates in?

Quality of Knowledge Products

- How useful are the knowledge products prepared and maintained by the Initiative (country self-reporting matrix, stocktaking report, website, newsletter, publications, capacity development seminars, conferences etc)? Please provide concrete examples of how a knowledge product resulted in changes to your country's laws, regulations, institutions, policies and practice.
- How could the access and/or usefulness of these knowledge products be enhanced?
- What additional knowledge products/activities should be considered to enhance the usefulness and therefore effectiveness of the Initiative?

Administration

- How effective have the Initiative's processes been to assess implementation of the Action Plan and establish future work priorities?

- Does the administrative structure operate effectively? What suggestions would you have for strengthening these processes/structures?
- Is the expertise of the various actors used effectively?
- Has the Secretariat provided necessary and timely administrative support?
- Is the administrative structure (steering group, advisory group, secretariat) appropriate?

Moving Forward

- How the Initiative can be improved to address members' anticorruption challenges;
- How to increase members' ownership and engagement in the Initiative;
- Are you prepared to make contributions to the work of the Initiative, if yes, in what form (monetary, advisory, human resources, other);
- Is there a need to change the Initiative's set up (Steering and Advisory Groups arrangements), if yes, how the set up should be changed.